

To: Schaefer, Joe[Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov]; McGuire, Frank[McGuire.Frank@epa.gov]; Melissa Bonds[melissa.bonds@gmail.com]
From: Latham (Polinko), Janine
Sent: Mon 8/17/2015 8:44:17 PM
Subject: RE: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

I hope hypotheticals, that was something eric said was mentioned on the call.

From: Schaefer, Joe [mailto:Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 3:43 PM
To: Latham (Polinko), Janine <Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com>; McGuire, Frank <McGuire.Frank@epa.gov>; Melissa Bonds <melissa.bonds@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

If a sample was severed from its initial batch, I think you would then have to make a new batch id for it [original+R] and you would just mark sure to never mark that batch as approved.

Has that happened already or are we going with hypotheticals?

Joe Schaefer

Environmental Response Team

US EPA

(c)609-865-8111

From: Latham (Polinko), Janine [mailto:Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com]
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 4:40 PM
To: McGuire, Frank; Melissa Bonds; Schaefer, Joe
Subject: RE: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

Do we have a way to catch those samples that get sent to HQ even though they are rejected so we can not post?

From: McGuire, Frank [<mailto:McGuire.Frank@epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 3:14 PM
To: Melissa Bonds <melissa.bonds@gmail.com>; Schaefer, Joe <Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov>;
Latham (Polinko), Janine <Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com>
Subject: Re: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

Hello Melissa,

Looks great and thanks for sending that along. Attached is a very slightly updated version setup with Custom Tasks for the Approval tables which also includes the EDD import capability. The Scribe template to use when importing approved data is also included.

Also, we renamed the Site_No field to Project_Site_No. This is to work around Scribe's use of the Site_No field to maintain the "site #" for the Scribe project itself (the import will not update a field called site_no). For EDD imports, we can import into the Project_Site_No field the value for the field project's site_no and then use that field to JOIN back to the LabResults table to get the Approved datasets.

Thanks and let us know what you think!

Frank

Frank McGuire

General Dynamics Information Technology

USEPA ERT Contractor

732-321-6684

From: Melissa Bonds <melissa.bonds@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 3:22 PM
To: Schaefer, Joe; Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com
Cc: McGuire, Frank
Subject: Re: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

Hers is the data and the template file I made. Apparently I'm too out of practice to get the import to work.

Of course they gave us samples not results. I was thinking a union of samples and results and get the total set. Any objections?

On Mon, Aug 17, 2015 at 11:06 AM, Schaefer, Joe <Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov> wrote:

Joe Schaefer

Environmental Response Team

(c) [609-865-9111](tel:609-865-9111)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Burgo, Daniel" <Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov>
Date: August 16, 2015 at 7:16:18 PM EDT
To: "Smith, Terry" <Smith.Terry@epa.gov>, John.Lucotch@WestonSolutions.com <John.Lucotch@WestonSolutions.com>, Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com <Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com>, "Delgado, Eric" <Delgado.Eric@epa.gov>, "Schaefer, Joe" <Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov>, Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com <Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com>, "Loesel, Matthew" <loesel.matthew@epa.gov>, "Rauscher, Jon" <Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov>, EOC Environmental Unit <EOC_Environmental_Unit@epa.gov>, kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com <kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com>, "Atencio, Kathie" <Atencio.Kathie@epa.gov>, "Ruhl, Christopher" <Ruhl.Christopher@epa.gov>, "Bernier, Roberto" <bernier.roberto@epa.gov>,

"Harrison, Melissa" <Harrison.Melissa@epa.gov>, "Grantham, Nancy" <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>

Subject: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

All,

I ran through the data that is posted (I included tonight's -8/11 SW) and have identified the samples that are validated in the combined Scribe database but not on the EPA.gov GKM site. A few points about this spread sheet:

- Comparison is of ***All validated samples as of 20150815.xls*** from Janine at 0600 at 8/16/15 compared to what was published as of 1200 8/16/15
- Red highlighted samples are not published on the .gov website
- Yellow highlights are published but appear to duplicated within the published file
- Headers are correct- please sort by region and matrix

My two cents on the To do(s):

- Query and export red highlighted samples out of Scribe possibly by (Kris?)
- Lucotch, could we develop trend lines from SW so EULs could base their message off of them
- Use the template attached and then Send to Terry Smith and cc Kathie Atencio for QCing
- Pass along data and potentially trend lines to ICP EULs to develop a message if it appears to be different from the current message
 - o Please check with ICP PIOs- AC PIOs are cc'ed on this email

- Terry, if you would like to trust but verify my comparison I think that makes the most sense
- DT Members if you want to add anything please feel free

Please forward to whomever you see fit. If there is any clarifying information about this please reply all to maintain situational awareness.

The overall message from AC PIO and Area Commander is that all of this data needs to be published.

Regards,

OSC Dan Burgo
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square
Suite 100 (OSRR02-2)
Boston, MA 02109

(617) 918-1052 Desk
(617) 918-0052 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.